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## Noncommutative spaces

Gelfand Naimark<br>Manifold $\mathcal{M} \quad$ Theorem Commutative Algebra of continous functions $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M})$<br>Deformation

$\underset{\text { "manifold" }}{\text { Noncommutative }} \quad \xrightarrow{?} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Noncommutative } \\ \text { Algebra } \mathcal{A}\end{gathered}$

## Space-time commutation relations

$\mathcal{A}$ associative algebra generated by the coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq D$, $D=$ space-time dimension, with relations

$$
\left[x^{i}, x^{j}\right]=i \theta^{i j}
$$

where $\theta$ is an antisymmetric tensor

$$
\theta^{i j}=-\theta^{j i}
$$

satisfying the Jacobi identity

$$
\partial^{i} \theta^{k l}+\partial^{k} \theta^{l i}+\partial^{l} \theta^{i k}=0
$$

## Weyl quantization procedure

Provides a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M})$

$$
f\left(x^{i}\right) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow W(f)\left(\hat{x}^{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}
$$

Starting from the Fourier transform $\tilde{f}(k)$

$$
\tilde{f}(k)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D / 2}} \int d x e^{-i k_{j} x^{j}} f(x)
$$

the Weyl operator $W(f)$ is defined by

$$
W(f)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D / 2}} \int d k e^{i k_{i} \hat{x}^{i} \tilde{f}(k)}
$$

with the substitution $x^{i} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \widehat{x}^{i} \in \mathcal{A}$.
The star product $f \star g$
$f \star g=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \int d k d p e^{i\left(k_{j}+p_{j}+g_{j}(k, p)\right) x^{j}} \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{g}(p)$ is defined as the function corresponding to
$W(f) W(g)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{D}} \int d k d p e^{i k_{i} \hat{x}^{i}} e^{i p_{j} \tilde{x}^{j}} \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{g}(p)$ with $g_{j}(k, p)$ the expression obtained through the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$
e^{A} e^{B}=e^{\left(A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\frac{1}{12}[A-B,[A, B]]+\ldots\right)}
$$

applied to $A=k_{i} \hat{x}^{i}, B=p_{j} \widehat{x}^{j}$.

Main properties of the Weyl quantization:

- It automatically selects the most symmetric ordering of the elements $\widehat{x}^{i} \in \mathcal{A}$.
- It naturally reproduces the Weyl-Moyal star product for the case of constant $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \star g= & f e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{i j} \overleftarrow{\partial_{i}} \vec{\partial}_{j}} g \\
= & f g+\frac{1}{2} i \theta^{i j} \partial_{i} f \partial_{j} g \\
& -\frac{1}{8} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} f \partial_{j} \partial_{l} g+O\left[\theta^{3}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\quad \partial_{i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}$.

- It coincides with Kontsevich quantization procedure for nilpotent Lie algebras and it is equivalent to it for the more general linear case. The difference with the Kontsevich star product in the linear case can be expressed in terms of "loop graphs". (Kathotia, math.QA/9811174)

Relation between $\theta$ and the $B$-field in string theory

In string theory, a constant Poisson tensor $\theta^{i j}$ is related to the antisymmetric tensor $B^{i j}$ in the Neveu-Schwarz sector by the formula

$$
\theta^{i j}=2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{g+2 \pi \alpha^{\prime} B}\right)^{[i j]}
$$

where [ ] antisymmetric part, $g$ metric, $\alpha^{\prime}$ string tension

In the limit of a large $B$-field $\alpha^{\prime} B \gg g$ then there is the simple relation

$$
\theta^{i j}=\frac{1}{B_{i j}}
$$

## The null-brane orbifold

Liu, Moore, Seiberg, hep-th/0204168, hep-th/0206182

Generators: $\left\{x^{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, $x^{1}=x^{+}, x^{2}=x^{-}, x^{3}=x, x^{4}=z$
Orbifold identifications

$$
\begin{gathered}
z \sim z+\frac{2 \pi}{\widetilde{R}}, x^{+} \sim x^{+}, x \sim x+2 \pi x^{+} \\
x^{-} \sim x^{-}+2 \pi x+\frac{1}{2}(2 \pi)^{2} x^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

The associated noncommutative algebra Sethi, Hashimoto, hep-th/0208126

T-dualities and Twist
$\Rightarrow$ noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$

Relations: $\left[x^{i}, x^{j}\right]=i \theta^{i j}$, Noncommutativity parameter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{x z}=-\theta^{z x}=\widetilde{R} x^{+}, \quad \theta^{x^{-} z}=-\theta^{z x^{-}}=\widetilde{R} x \\
& \theta^{i j}=0 \text { otherwise }, \quad \widetilde{R}=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$

Main properties of $\mathcal{A}$

- It is linear in the coordinates, i.e. it is of the Lie algebra type.
- $\theta^{i j}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity.
- It is a nilpotent algebra, i.e. the third commutator of any four elements vanishes.

$$
\left[x^{i},\left[x^{j},\left[x^{k}, x^{l}\right]\right]\right]=0 \quad \forall x^{i} \in \mathcal{A}
$$

- It is noncommutative only in the $x z$ and $x^{-} z$ directions.


## The Kontsevich star product for $\mathcal{A}$

The Weyl quantization procedure gives

$$
f \star g=f e^{\frac{i}{2} \theta^{i j} \overleftarrow{\partial_{i} \vec{\partial}_{j}}-\frac{1}{12} \theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \theta^{k l}\left(\overleftarrow{\partial_{i}}{\overleftarrow{\partial_{k}} \overrightarrow{\partial_{l}}-\overleftarrow{\partial_{k}} \vec{\partial}_{i} \vec{\partial}_{l}}_{l}^{g}\right. \text { g}}
$$

## Properties

- To the second order in $\theta$ it becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \star g= & f g+\frac{i}{2} \theta^{i j} \partial_{i} f \partial_{j} g-\frac{1}{8} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} f \partial_{j} \partial_{l} g \\
& -\frac{1}{12} \theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \theta^{k l}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{k} f \partial_{l} g-\partial_{k} f \partial_{i} \partial_{l} g\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

which coincides with the expression given by Kontsevich (Kontsevich, q-alg/9709040).

- It is different than the Weyl-Moyal star product and generalizes it.
- It is an associative product.


## The coordinate transformation

Liu, Moore, Seiberg, hep-th/0204168, hep-th/0206182
Through the coordinate transformation $\sigma$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{+}=y^{+} ; x=y^{+}(\tilde{y}+\widetilde{R} z) \\
x^{-}=y^{-}+\frac{1}{2} y^{+}(\tilde{y}+\widetilde{R} z)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be rewritten as the algebra generated by the elements $\left\{y^{+}, y, y^{-}, z\right\}$ with relations

$$
\left[y^{i}, y^{j}\right]=i \tilde{\theta}^{i j}
$$

where $y^{1}=y^{+}, y^{2}=y, y^{3}=y^{-}, y^{4}=z$ and

$$
\tilde{\theta}^{\tilde{y} z}=-\widetilde{\theta}^{z \tilde{y}}=\widetilde{R}, \widetilde{\theta}^{i j}=0 \text { otherwise }
$$

and the orbifold identification becomes

$$
y^{+} \sim y^{+} ; \tilde{y} \sim \tilde{y}+2 \pi ; y^{-} \sim y^{-} ; z \sim z+\frac{2 \pi}{\widetilde{R}}
$$

This coordinate transformation is not linear and it is singular for $x^{+}=y^{+}=0$. However, the orbifold identification is simpler and the noncommutativity parameter is constant.

## The equivalence map

Two star products $\star$ and $\star^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists an equivalence map, i.e. a differential operator $R$, such that

$$
f \star^{\prime} g=R^{-1}(R(f) \star R(g))
$$

Kontsevich's formality theorem:
two star products related by a coordinate transformation are equivalent in the above sense.
$\Rightarrow \star$ and $\star^{\prime}=\sigma(\tilde{\star})$ equivalent for $x^{+} \neq 0$.
Expand $\star, R$ in powers of $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \star g= & f g+B^{(1)}(f, g)+B^{(2)}(f, g)+\ldots \\
R(f)= & f+R^{(1)}(f)+R^{(2)}(f)+\ldots \\
\Rightarrow B^{\prime(1)}(f, g)= & B^{(1)}(f, g)+R^{(1)}(f) g \\
& +f R^{(1)}(g)-R^{(1)}(f g)
\end{aligned}
$$

To the fourth order in $\theta, R$ is found to be

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{(1)}(f)=R^{(3)}(f)=0, \\
R^{(2)}(f)=\frac{1}{24} \theta^{z x} \partial_{x} \theta^{x^{-} z} \partial_{x^{-}}, \quad R^{(4)}(f)=R^{(2)^{2}}(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

Main properties of the equivalence map

- To the fourth order $R$ is generated by the flow of $R^{(2)}$, i.e. it is of the form:

$$
R f=e^{-\frac{1}{24} \widetilde{R}^{2} x^{+} \partial_{x^{-}} \partial_{z}^{2}} f
$$

- As expected, $R$ is singular for $y^{+}=x^{+}=0$, where the coordinate transformation $\sigma$ is singular.
- The star product $\star$ is equivalent up to $\sigma$ to the Weyl-Moyal product $\tilde{\star}$ for a constant $\tilde{\theta}^{i j}$
$\Rightarrow \star$ is associative.
- In principle $R$ allows to map the results for a constant $\tilde{\theta}^{i j}$ to the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, e.g. the differential calculus, the SW map, instanton computations, at least outside of the singularity.

Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces and covariant coordinates
Wess et al., hep-th/0104153, hep-th/0001203,
Seiberg, hep-th/0008013
On commutative spaces
$\psi$ gauge field, $\lambda$ gauge parameter, $a_{i}$ gauge potential

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta a_{i}=\partial_{i} \lambda-i\left[a_{i}, \lambda\right] \\
\delta \psi=i \lambda \psi, \quad \delta x^{i}=0 \Rightarrow \delta\left(x^{i} \psi\right)=i \lambda x^{i} \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

On noncommutative spaces
$\psi$ gauge field, $\wedge$ gauge parameter, $A^{i}$ gauge potential

$$
\delta \Psi=i \wedge \star \Psi
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \delta\left(x^{i} \star \Psi\right)=i x^{i} \star \wedge \star \Psi \neq i \wedge \star x^{i} \star \Psi
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Introduce covariant coordinates $X^{i}$

$$
X^{i}=x^{i}+A^{i}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta X^{i}=i\left[\wedge \star, X^{i}\right] \\
\Rightarrow \delta\left(X^{i} \star \Psi\right)=i \star \wedge \star X^{i} \star \psi
\end{gathered}
$$

This requires the noncommutative gauge transformation

$$
\delta A^{i}=i\left[\Lambda \stackrel{\star}{,} x^{i}\right]+i\left[\Lambda \stackrel{\star}{,} A^{i}\right]
$$

## The SW map

## Seiberg and Witten, JHEP09(1999)032

It expresses the noncommutative gauge field and parameter in terms of the commutative ones:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{i}=A^{i}\left(\theta, a, \partial a, \partial^{2} a, \cdots\right) \\
\wedge=\wedge(\theta, \lambda, \partial \lambda, \cdots, a, \partial a, \cdots)
\end{gathered}
$$

The functional dependence is determined by the SW equation

$$
\delta A^{i}=i\left[\wedge_{,}^{\star}, x^{i}\right]+i\left[\wedge \stackrel{\star}{,} A^{i}\right]
$$

For constant $\theta^{i j}$, it is possible to identify

$$
\left[x^{i} \stackrel{\star}{,} f\right]=i \theta^{i j} \partial_{j} f \text { for all } f\left(x^{i}\right)
$$

and rewrite

$$
\delta A^{i}=\theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \Lambda+i\left[\wedge \stackrel{\star}{,} A^{i}\right]
$$

The index of the derivative is raised with $\theta^{i j}$.
It is a non-trivial result that this is consistent also for a $\theta^{i j}$ of the Lie algebra type, like the example we are studying here.

Properties of the SW map

- Usually the algebra of the gauge fields does not close in the noncommutative case and the fields are elements of the envelopping algebra. The SW map allows us to express an infinite number of noncommutative fields in terms of a finite number of commutative ones.
- In string theory the existence of the SW map follows from the fact that two different regularization techniques (Pauli-Villars and point-splitting) lead either to a commutative or a noncommutative theory and therefore the two theories are supposed to be physically equivalent.
- An interaction which is complicated when expressed in terms of the commutative variables becomes a simple free theory in the noncommutative coordinates. The interaction is encoded in the noncommutative structure of the space, i.e. in the geometry.
- There are different types of ambiguities in the solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equation, as a consequence of field redefinitions and the dependence on the choice of the path in $\theta$-space.
(Asakawa and Kishimoto, hep-th/9909139)
- From the physical point of view, the $x^{i}$ are regarded as classical solutions, nontrivial vacuum expectation values, for coordinates on a D-brane, and the potential $A^{i}$ is seen as a fluctuation around this value (Seiberg, hep-th/0008013).


## Introduction of the ghost fields and of the coboundary operator

Instead of the gauge parameter $\lambda$ use an odd ghost field $v$ and define the BRST operator $\delta$

$$
\delta v=i v^{2}, \quad \delta a_{i}=\partial_{i} v-i\left[a_{i}, v\right] \equiv D_{i} v
$$

with the properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta^{2}=0, \quad\left[\delta, \partial_{i}\right]=0 \\
\delta\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)=\left(\delta f_{1}\right) f_{2}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)} f_{1}\left(\delta f_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, define the coboundary operator

$$
\Delta= \begin{cases}\delta-i\{v, \cdot\} & \text { on odd quantities } \\ \delta-i[v, \cdot] & \text { on even quantities }\end{cases}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta v=-i v^{2}, & \Delta a_{i}=\partial_{i} v \\
\Delta^{2}=0, & {\left[\Delta, D_{i}\right]=0} \\
\Delta\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)=\left(\Delta f_{1}\right) f_{2}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)} f_{1}\left(\Delta f_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Seiberg-Witten equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta \Psi=i \wedge \star \Psi, \quad \delta \wedge=i \wedge \star \wedge \\
\delta A^{i}=i\left[\wedge \star, x^{i}\right]-i\left[A^{i \star}, \wedge\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The equation for $\wedge$ follows from the nilpotency of $\delta$ and the associativity of the star product.

## Expansion in $\theta^{i j}$

Gauge parameter and gauge field

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge=\wedge^{(0)}+\Lambda^{(1)}+\ldots, \quad \wedge^{(0)}=v \\
& A^{i}=A^{i(1)}+A^{i(2)}+\ldots, \quad A^{i(1)}=\theta^{i j} a_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

The index of the gauge potential is raised with $\theta^{i j} \Rightarrow A^{i}$ starts at first order already. General structure of the Seiberg-Witten equalion to order $n$ :

$$
\Delta \Lambda^{(n)}=M^{(n)}, \quad \Delta A^{i(n)}=U^{i(n)}
$$

Introduce the useful notation $b_{i} \equiv \partial_{i} v$.
Then for the gauge parameter

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \Lambda^{(0)}=i v^{2}, \quad \Delta \Lambda^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j} b_{i} b_{j} \\
\Delta \Lambda^{(2)}=-\frac{i}{8} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l} \partial_{i} b_{k} \partial_{j} b_{l}-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j}\left[b_{i}, \partial_{j} \Lambda^{(1)}\right] \\
+i \Lambda^{(1)} \Lambda^{(1)}-\frac{1}{12} \theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \theta^{k l}\left\{i D_{i} b_{k}-\left[a_{i}, b_{k}\right], b_{l}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and for the gauge potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta A^{i(1)} & =\theta^{i j} b_{j} \\
\Delta A^{i(2)} & =\theta^{i j} D_{j} \wedge^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{k l}\left\{b_{k}, \partial_{l}\left(\theta^{i j} a_{j}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The part of the SW equations which does not depend on derivatives of $\theta^{i j}$ decouples from the part which does and they can be solved separately.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{(n)} & =M^{\prime}(\theta)^{(n)}+M^{\prime \prime}(\theta, \partial \theta)^{(n)} \\
U^{i(n)} & =U^{i^{\prime}}(\theta)^{(n)}+U^{i^{\prime \prime}}(\theta, \partial \theta)^{(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consistency conditions following from $\Delta^{2}=0$ :

$$
\Delta M^{(n)}=0, \quad \Delta U^{i(n)}=0
$$

$M^{\prime(n)}$ and $M^{\prime \prime(n)}$, as well as $U^{i^{\prime(n)}}$ and $U^{i^{\prime \prime(n)}}$ have to satisfy the consistency condition separately. $M^{\prime \prime(n)}$ and $U^{i^{\prime \prime(n)}}$ satisfy it due to the Jacobi identity.

## Ambiguities in the solutions

If $\Lambda$ and $A_{i}$ are solutions so are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Lambda}^{(n)} & =\Lambda^{(n)}+\Delta S^{(n)} \\
\tilde{A}_{i}^{(n)} & =A_{i}^{(n)}+D_{i} S^{(n)}+S_{i}^{\prime(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrary $S^{(n)}, S_{i}^{(n)}$ of ghost number 0 and $\Delta S_{i}^{\prime}=0$ (Asakawa and Kishimoto, hep-th/9909139) The ambiguity due to $S$ is of a gauge type, the one due to $S^{\prime}$ is of a covariant type.
The Seiberg-Witten equations are invariant under the noncommutative finite gauge transformations (Stora)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wedge & \rightarrow G^{-1} \wedge G+i G^{-1} \delta_{v} G \\
A_{i} & \rightarrow G^{-1} A_{i} G+i G^{-1} \partial_{i} G \\
\psi & \rightarrow G^{-1} \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$

where all products are star products, $G$ is an arbitrary element of ghost number 0 . The gauge ambiguities at the infinitesimal level can be recovered by choosing

$$
G=1-i S^{(n)}
$$

To first order

$$
S^{(1)}=-i \theta^{i j}\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]
$$

## The homotopy operator

The consistency condition for the SW map suggests an analogy with the cohomology of chiral anomalies (Zumino, Les Houches lecture).

It is not possible to invert $\Delta$, because it is nilpotent, but it is possible to construct the homotopy operator $K$ satisfying

$$
\Delta K+K \Delta=1
$$

Then

$$
\Delta K M+K \Delta M=\Delta K M=M
$$ and therefore $\Lambda=K M$ is a solution.

Only $b_{i}$ and its derivatives enter in the equations, and never $v$ itself. $K$ is defined only on $b_{i}$.

Construction of $K$ proceeds in two steps.
Basic variables: $a_{i}, b_{i}$
First, define infinitesimal version $L$
Action of $L$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
L a_{i}=0, \quad L b_{i}=a_{i}, \quad\left[L, D_{i}\right]=0 \\
L\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)=\left(L f_{1}\right) f_{2}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)} f_{1}\left(L f_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$L$ is odd and nilpotent: $L^{2}=0$.
Introduce $d=$ total order(monomial in $a, b$ )
Then the homotopy operator $K$ is defined:

$$
K=D^{-1} L
$$

with $D^{-1}$ linear operator, which on monomials multiplies by $\frac{1}{d}$
$K$ is nilpotent: $K^{2}=0$.
$K$ is odd and has negative ghost number -1 . Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{(1)} & =K\left(-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j} b_{i} b_{j}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j} D^{-1} L\left(b_{i} b_{j}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j} D^{-1}\left(a_{i} b_{j}-b_{i} a_{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \theta^{i j}\left\{b_{i}, a_{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The constraints

The variables $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are not free, because from $b_{i} \equiv \partial_{i} v$ it follows

$$
\partial_{i} b_{j}-\partial_{j} b_{i}=0
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\Delta F_{i j}=D_{i} b_{j}-D_{j} b_{i}+i\left[b_{i}, a_{j}\right]+i\left[a_{i}, b_{j}\right]=0
$$

Analogously, the covariant derivatives have to satisfy the constraint

$$
\left[F_{i j}, \cdot\right]-i\left[D_{i}, D_{j}\right](\cdot)=0
$$

Solution: Symmetrization procedure Separate the symmetric part of $D^{k} a$ or $D^{k} b$ and substitute the constraints recursively for the antisymmetric pieces. For example:

$$
D_{i} a_{j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(D_{i} a_{j}+D_{j} a_{i}+F_{i j}-i\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]\right)
$$

Then treat $F$ and its derivatives as scalars. There are no independent constraints of higher order.

## Solutions to second order for constant $\theta^{i j}$

By applying the homotopy operator to the symmetrized $M^{(2)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{(2)}= & -\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j}\left\{a_{i}, \frac{1}{3} D_{j} \Lambda^{(1)}+\frac{i}{4}\left[a_{j}, \Lambda^{(1)}\right]\right\} \\
& +\theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left(-\frac{i}{16}\left[D_{i} a_{k}, D_{j} b_{l}\right]\right. \\
& +\left[\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], \frac{1}{24} D_{j} b_{l}+\frac{i}{32}\left[a_{j}, b_{l}\right]\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{24}\left[D_{i} a_{k},\left[a_{j}, b_{l}\right]\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{8}\left(a_{i}\left(\frac{1}{3} D_{j} a_{k}-\frac{1}{3} D_{k} a_{j}+\frac{i}{2}\left[a_{j}, a_{k}\right]\right) b_{l}\right. \\
& -b_{i}\left(\frac{1}{3} D_{j} a_{k}-\frac{1}{3} D_{k} a_{j}+\frac{i}{2}\left[a_{j}, a_{k}\right]\right) a_{l} \\
& \left.\left.+\left\{\frac{1}{6}\left(D_{i} a_{k}-D_{k} a_{i}\right)+\frac{i}{4}\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right],\left\{a_{l}, b_{j}\right\}\right\}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison between the solutions

A known solution (Munich group) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}= & \frac{1}{32} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left(-\left\{b_{i},\left\{a_{k}, i\left[a_{j}, a_{l}\right]+4 \partial_{l} a_{j}\right\}\right\}\right. \\
& -i\left\{a_{j},\left\{a_{l},\left[b_{i}, a_{k}\right]\right\}\right\}+2 i\left[\left[a_{j}, a_{l}\right],\left[b_{i}, a_{k}\right]\right] \\
& \left.+2\left[\left[b_{i}, a_{k}\right]+i \partial_{i} b_{k}, \partial_{j} a_{l}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As expected, the two solutions $\Lambda^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}$ differ by an ambiguity $\Delta S^{(2)}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(2)}= & K\left(\wedge^{(2)}-\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}\right) \\
= & \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left[\left(\frac { 1 } { 2 4 } \left(\left[a_{j},\left[D_{i} a_{k}, a_{l}\right]\right]\right.\right.\right. \\
& +2\left(D_{i} a_{k} a_{j} a_{l}+a_{l} a_{j} D_{i} a_{k}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{16}\left[a_{i} a_{k}, \Delta F_{j l}\right]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The same technique can be applied to compute the gauge potential $A_{i}^{(2)}$ and to higher orders in $\theta^{i j}$. It can be done e.g. by computer.

## Seiberg-Witten differential equation

For $\theta^{i j}$ constant, let $\theta^{i j} \rightarrow t \theta^{i j}$.
The star product depends on an evolution parameter $t$.
Define new operators at "time" $t$ :

$$
\Delta_{t}= \begin{cases}\delta-i\{\wedge \stackrel{\star}{*} \cdot\} & \text { on odd quantities } \\ \delta-i[\wedge \stackrel{\star}{,} \cdot] & \text { on even quantities }\end{cases}
$$

Covariant derivative $D_{i, t}$

$$
D_{i, t}=\partial_{i}-i\left[A_{i} \stackrel{\star}{,} \cdot\right]
$$

They have the properties

$$
\Delta_{t} A_{i}=\partial_{i} \wedge, \quad \Delta_{t}^{2}=0, \quad\left[\Delta_{t}, D_{i, t}\right]=0
$$

$\Delta_{t}\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)=\left(\Delta_{t} f_{1}\right) f_{2}+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)} f_{1}\left(\Delta_{t} f_{2}\right)$
Differentiate the Seiberg-Witten equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{t} \dot{\Lambda} & =-\theta^{k l} \partial_{k} \wedge \star \partial_{l} \wedge \\
\Delta_{t} \dot{A}_{i} & =\Delta_{i} \dot{\Lambda}+\frac{1}{2} \theta^{k l}\left\{\partial_{k} A_{i}, \partial_{l} \wedge\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\dot{f}=\frac{d f}{d t}$

Then a solution are the evolution equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\Lambda} & =\frac{1}{4} \theta^{i j}\left\{\partial_{i} \Lambda, A_{j}\right\} \\
\dot{A}_{i} & =-\frac{1}{4} \theta^{k l}\left\{A_{k}, \partial_{l} A_{i}+F_{l i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By differentiating $\dot{\Lambda}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{\Lambda}= & \frac{1}{16} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left(\left\{\left\{\partial_{i} \partial_{k} \Lambda \stackrel{\star}{,} A_{j}\right\}+\left\{\partial_{i} \wedge \stackrel{\star}{,} \partial_{k} A_{j}\right\} A_{l}\right\}\right. \\
& -\left\{\partial_{i} \Lambda \stackrel{\star}{,}\left\{A_{k} \stackrel{\star}{,} \partial_{l} A_{j}+F_{l j}\right\}\right\} \\
& \left.+2 i\left[\partial_{i} \partial_{k} \wedge \stackrel{\star}{,} \partial_{j} A_{l}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute $\frac{d^{n} \Lambda}{d t^{n}}$. We can obtain solutions $\wedge^{(n)}$ as

$$
\Lambda^{(n)}=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n} \wedge}{d t^{n}}
$$

The solution to second order obtained from $\ddot{\wedge}$ again differs from $\wedge^{(2)}$ by an ambiguity.

With this method the homotopy operator has to be applied at most at first order: no problems with constraints.

## Deformation of the BRST operator

## (Weinstein)

Start with the original BRST operator

$$
\delta_{0} \wedge=i \wedge \star \wedge
$$

and deform it to

$$
\delta=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\ldots
$$

such that

$$
\delta v=i v \star v, \quad \delta^{2}=0
$$

Introduce the deforming map $D$ such that

$$
D v=\wedge
$$

and

$$
D \delta=\delta_{0} D, \quad[D, \partial]=0
$$

with the properties

$$
D(f g)=D(f) D(g), \quad D(f \star g)=D(f) \star D(g)
$$

Expand in $\theta^{i j}$

$$
D=1+D^{(1)}+D^{(2)}+\ldots
$$

where $D^{(1)}$ is a vector field

$$
D^{(1)}(f g)=D^{(1)}(f) g+f D^{(1)}(g)
$$

Order by order in $\theta^{i j}$ the equation

$$
D \delta=\delta_{0} D
$$

becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=\delta_{0} D^{(1)}-D^{(1)} \delta_{0} \\
& \delta_{2}=\delta_{0} D^{(2)}-D^{(2)} \delta_{0}-D^{(1)} \delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
D^{(n)} v=\wedge^{(n)}, \quad \delta_{n} v=B^{(n)}(v, v)
$$

and we recover the equation $\Delta \wedge^{(n)}=M^{(n)}$.

The equation $D \delta=\delta_{0} D$ is nothing else than the definition of an algebroid morphism.

## Solution for the gauge parameter for linear $\theta^{i j}$

To the first order there is no correction due to the fact that $\theta^{i j}$ is not constant and we recover the usual result

$$
\wedge^{(1)}=\frac{1}{4} \theta^{k l}\left\{b_{k}, a_{l}\right\}
$$

To the second order we recover the previous result

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda^{\prime(2)}=-\frac{1}{2} \theta^{i j}\left\{a_{i}, \frac{1}{3} D_{j} \Lambda^{(1)}+\frac{i}{4}\left[a_{j}, \wedge^{(1)}\right]\right\} \\
+\theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left(-\frac{i}{16}\left[D_{i} a_{k}, D_{j} b_{l}\right]+\left[\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], \frac{1}{24} D_{j} b_{l}\right.\right. \\
\left.+\frac{i}{32}\left[a_{j}, b_{l}\right]\right]+\frac{1}{24}\left[D_{i} a_{k},\left[a_{j}, b_{l}\right]\right] \\
+\frac{1}{8}\left(a_{i}\left(\frac{1}{3} D_{j} a_{k}-\frac{1}{3} D_{k} a_{j}+\frac{i}{2}\left[a_{j}, a_{k}\right]\right) b_{l}\right. \\
\quad-b_{i}\left(\frac{1}{3} D_{j} a_{k}-\frac{1}{3} D_{k} a_{j}+\frac{i}{2}\left[a_{j}, a_{k}\right]\right) a_{l} \\
\left.\left.+\left\{\frac{1}{6}\left(D_{i} a_{k}-D_{k} a_{i}\right)+\frac{i}{4}\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right],\left\{a_{l}, b_{j}\right\}\right\}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and we find the correction

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda^{\prime \prime}(2)=-\frac{1}{4} \theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \theta^{k l}\left(\frac { 1 } { 6 } \left(\left\{a_{i},\left\{b_{k}, a_{l}\right\}\right\}+i\left[D_{i} a_{k}, b_{l}\right]\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad-i\left[D_{i} b_{k}, a_{l}\right]\right)+\frac{1}{9}\left(\left[\left[a_{i}, b_{k}\right], a_{l}\right]-\left[\left[a_{i}, a_{k}\right], b_{l}\right]\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Solution for the gauge potential

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{\prime i}(2) & =-\frac{1}{4} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l}\left\{a_{k}, \partial_{l} a_{j}+F_{l j}\right\} \\
A^{\prime \prime(2)} & =-\frac{1}{4} \theta^{k l} \partial_{l} \theta^{i j}\left\{a_{k}, a_{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of Sethi and Hashimoto

$$
A^{\prime \prime \prime}(2)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}^{2} x^{+}\left\{a_{z}, a_{z}\right\} & \text { for } i=x^{-} \\ -\frac{1}{4} \widetilde{R}^{2} x^{+}\left\{a_{z}, a_{x^{-}}\right\} & \text {for } i=z \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In the case of an abelian gauge theory

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{\prime \prime \prime}(3)=\frac{1}{6}\left(-\theta^{i j} \partial_{j} \theta^{r s} \theta^{k l} a_{k} a_{r}\left(\partial_{l} a_{s}+\partial_{s} a_{l}\right)\right. \\
+\theta^{s j} \partial_{j} \theta^{i r} \theta^{k l} a_{k} a_{r}\left(2 \partial_{l} a_{s}-\partial_{s} a_{l}\right) \\
\left.+\theta^{r j} \partial_{j} \theta^{s i} \theta^{k l} a_{r} a_{k}\left(2 \partial_{s} a_{l}-4 \partial_{l} a_{s}\right)\right) \\
+\frac{1}{6} \theta^{i j} \theta^{k l} \partial_{l} \theta^{r s} a_{k} a_{s}\left(2 \partial_{j} a_{r}-3 \partial_{r} a_{j}\right) \\
\quad+\frac{1}{6} \theta^{k l} \partial_{l} \theta^{r s} \partial_{s} \theta^{i j} a_{k} a_{r} a_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conclusions and outlook

- With a cohomological approach the solutions to the SW equations can be computed for each gauge group and to each order in $\theta^{i j}$.
- In some instances, as in the case of the null-brane orbifold studied here, it can also be used for a time-dependent background or a more general non-constant $\theta^{i j}$.
- By using ghosts a connection with the Ba-talin-Vilkoviskij formalism can be made. The SW map could be formulated in terms of a master equation (see Barnich, Grigoriev, Henneaux, hep-th/0106188)
- This type of cohomology is related to an algebroid structure, because it comes from the action of Lie algebra on the fields. In other words, it is possible to deform the BRST operator $\delta$ itself rather than the gauge parameter and the gauge potential. It is an equivalent approach (Weinstein).
- It is also related to supermanifolds, so that it could be used to formulate and study the properties of the SW map for supersymmetric theories.
- The Weyl-Moyal product appears in string field theory, because it is related to the Witten star product. Noncommutative geometry may prove relevant in this context (see e.g. Bars, Deliduman, Pasqua, Zumino, hep-th/0308107).
- Through the use of cohomology the renormalization properties of a noncommutative gauge theory could be studied. Expanding in $\theta$ is a way to get around the infraredultraviolet mixing occurring in noncommutative field theories (see Grosse with Vienna group, hep-th/0104097)

