## Stability and duality in $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity

Jan Manschot

UNC Chapel Hill April 29th, 2010

### Introduction



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

- BPS-states and wall-crossing
- Review supergravity and dualities
- (Multi)-center black hole solutions
- Partition functions
- Convergence and modularity
- Conclusions

Based on arXiv:0906.1767 and 1003.1570

### $\mathcal{N}=2$ algebra

$$\{\mathcal{Q}^{I}_{\alpha},\mathcal{Q}^{J}_{\beta}\}=2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}Z^{IJ},$$

central charge:  $Z : (L, C_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where:

- L: lattice of electro-magnetic charges
- C<sub>X</sub>: moduli space

### BPS states:

- invariant under half of the susy generators,
- their mass satisfies  $M = |Z(\Gamma, t)|$  with  $\Gamma \in L$  and  $t \in C_X$

Supersymmetric index:

$$\Omega(\Gamma; t) = rac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, t)} \left(2J_3\right)^2 (-1)^{2J_3}$$

Is generically a protected quantity.



 $\Omega(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2; t)$  is only locally constant as function of t; it might jump across walls where  $Z(\Gamma_1, t)||Z(\Gamma_2, t)$ .

#### Wall-crossing formulas:

Primitive:

$$\Delta\Omega(\mathsf{\Gamma}_{1}+\mathsf{\Gamma}_{2};t_{\mathrm{s}}\rightarrow t_{\mathrm{u}})=(-1)^{\langle\mathsf{\Gamma}_{1},\mathsf{\Gamma}_{2}\rangle}\left|\langle\mathsf{\Gamma}_{1},\mathsf{\Gamma}_{2}\rangle\right|\Omega(\mathsf{\Gamma}_{1};t_{\mathrm{ms}})\Omega(\mathsf{\Gamma}_{2};t_{\mathrm{ms}}),$$

Denef, Moore (2007)

Kontsevich-Soibelman formula:

$$\prod_{\Gamma \in L, Z(\Gamma, t) \in V}^{\curvearrowright} \mathcal{T}_{\Gamma}^{\Omega(\Gamma; t)}$$

6/30

### Partition function:

Mixed ensemble:

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau, C, t) = \sum_{Q} \Omega(P, Q; t) e^{-2\pi \tau_2 M(\Gamma, t) + 2\pi i C^A Q_A}$$

 $au_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \ C^A \in \mathbb{R}^{b_2+1}$ 



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

# $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity I

### Relevant field content:

vector multiplets:

- U(1) field strengths  $F^A = dC^A$ ,  $A = 0, ..., b_2$  sourced by electro-magnetic charges  $\Gamma = (P, Q) \in L$ ,
- complex scalars  $X^A$
- fermions

### Compactification

compactify 10d space-time on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold X (6 real dimensions)  $\implies \mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ 

# $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity II

### Properties of X:

- Betti numbers  $b_n = \dim H_n(X)$ :  $b_0 = b_6 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = b_4$ ,  $b_3$ ,  $b_1 = b_5 = 0$
- triple intersection product of 4-cycles:  $d_{abc}$
- Kähler moduli:  $t^a = X^a/X^0 = B^a + iJ^a$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, b_2$
- Kähler cone:  $C_X = \{J : Q \cdot J > 0, P \cdot J^2, J^3 > 0 \text{ for } Q, P \text{ effective}\}$

#### Charges

$$\Gamma = (P^0, P^a, Q_a, Q_0) = \mathsf{D6} ext{-}\mathsf{D4} ext{-}\mathsf{D2} ext{-}\mathsf{D0} ext{ branes} \in H_6 \oplus H_4 \oplus H_2 \oplus H_0$$

Symplectic inner product:

$$\langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle = \mathit{I}_{12} = -\mathit{P}_1^0 \mathit{Q}_{0,2} + \mathit{P}_1 \cdot \mathit{Q}_2 - \mathit{P}_2 \cdot \mathit{Q}_1 + \mathit{P}_2^0 \mathit{Q}_{0,1}$$

### Electric-magnetic duality:

- Electric-magnetic duality is a symplectic group  $Sp(2b_2 + 2, \mathbb{Z})$ :  $\mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{I}$ . Acts on the vector multiplets, e.g.  $\mathbf{K}\Gamma$
- Large volume limit  $J \to \infty$ : subgroup of translations  $\mathbf{K}(k) \sim k^a \in \mathbb{Z}^{b_2}$   $Q_a \to Q_a + d_{abc}k^bP^c$   $Q_0 \to Q_0 + k \cdot Q + \frac{1}{2}d_{abc}k^ak^bP^c$  $t^a \to t^a + k^a$
- Large gauge transformations  $C^a 
  ightarrow C^a + m^a$ , also  $\mathbb{Z}^{b_2}$

•  $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  duality group:  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , ad - bc = 1More manifest in IIB supergravity  $\rightarrow$  T-duality on  $S_t^1$ 

• How does it act?

$$\begin{split} \tau &= \tau_1 + i\tau_2 = C_0 + i\beta/g_{\rm s} \\ \tau &\to \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad C \to aC + bB, \quad B \to cC + dB, \quad J \to |c\tau + d|J \end{split}$$

 S-duality + EM-duality + large gauge transformations → Jacobi group SL(2, Z) × (Z<sup>b<sub>2</sub></sup>)<sup>2</sup>  $\mathsf{Dualities} \Rightarrow \mathsf{modular} \text{ properties of partition function:}$ 

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\gamma( au,\mathsf{C},t))\sim\mathcal{Z}( au,\mathsf{C},t), & \gamma\in\mathit{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\ \mathcal{Z}( au,\mathsf{C}+k,t+\ell)\sim\mathcal{Z}( au,\mathsf{C},t) \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Partition function is useful to test the compatibility of stability with duality.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

### Single center BPS black hole

Moduli "flow" by attractor mechanism. Ferrara, Kallosh and Strominger (1995)



Mass:  $M = |Z(\Gamma, t)|$  Entropy:  $S_{BH}(\Gamma) = \pi |Z(\Gamma, t(\Gamma))|^2$ 

### Two center black hole



$$|x_1 - x_2| = \sqrt{G_4} \frac{\langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle}{2} \frac{|Z(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2, t)|}{\operatorname{Im}(Z(\Gamma_1, t)\overline{Z}(\Gamma_2, t))}$$

Goal: construction of partition function for such BPS-states.  $\Rightarrow$  test dualities. Large volume limit:

# $\lim J \longrightarrow \mathbf{O}$

D-branes  $\rightarrow$  coherent sheaves.



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

э

17/30

### Simplification 2

No D6-branes:  $P^0 = 0$ 



D4-brane wraps divisor in X.

$$\Rightarrow Z(\Gamma, t) = -rac{1}{2}P \cdot t^2 + Q \cdot t - Q_0$$

### Lattice $\Lambda$ for $Q_a \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$

- quadratic form  $D_{ab}=D_{abc}P^c$  :  $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes H_2(X,\mathbb{Z}) o\mathbb{Z}$ ,
- signature  $(1, b_2 1)$
- projection to  $\Lambda_+$ :  $Q_+ = Q \cdot J/|J|$ ; such that  $Q^2 = Q_-^2 + Q_+^2$

#### Entropy from MSW CFT Maldacena, Strominger, Witten (1997) :

Entropy:  $S_{\rm BH} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}P^3 \hat{Q}_{\bar{0}}}$ Lower bound:  $\hat{Q}_{\bar{0}} = -Q_0 + \frac{1}{2}Q^2 \ge -c_{\rm R}/24 \approx -P^3/24$ 

To every black hole center a lattice  $\Lambda_i$ , index  $\Omega(\Gamma_i) = \Omega(\Gamma_i, t(\Gamma_i))$ and central charge  $c_{Ri}$  is associated.

### Mass

$$\lim_{J \to \infty} M(\Gamma, t) = \frac{1}{2}P \cdot J^2 + Q_+^2 - Q_0$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}P \cdot J^2 + Q_+^2 - \frac{1}{2}Q^2 + \hat{Q}_{\bar{0}}$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} Q^2 & Q \cdot J \\ Q \cdot J & J^2 \end{vmatrix} < 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad Q_+^2 - \frac{1}{2}Q^2 > 0,$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad M(\Gamma, t) \text{ bounded from below.}$$

$$\lim_{J \to \infty} M(\Gamma, t) = \frac{1}{2} P \cdot J^2 + Q_+^2 - Q_0$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} P \cdot J^2 + \underbrace{Q_+^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_1)_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_2)_2^2}_{\text{signature}(2b_2 - 1, 1)} + \hat{Q}_{\bar{0}, 2}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  not bounded from below for generic  $Q_1 \in \Lambda_1, \ Q_2 \in \Lambda_2.$ 

### Stability

$$(P_1 \cdot Q_2 - P_2 \cdot Q_1) \operatorname{Im}(Z(\Gamma_1, t)\overline{Z}(\Gamma_2, t)) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{+}^{2} - rac{1}{2}(Q_{1})_{1}^{2} - rac{1}{2}(Q_{2})_{2}^{2} > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$  bounded from below.

For single center:

Mass bounded from below  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau, C, t) = \sum_{Q_{I}} \Omega(\Gamma) e^{-\pi \tau_{2} M(\Gamma, t) + 2\pi i C^{I} Q_{I}} \text{ is convergent.}$ 

### *S*-duality/modularity

$$S \quad : \quad \mathcal{Z}_{P}(-1/\tau, -B, C+i|\tau|J) = \tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\tau}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varepsilon(S) \, \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau, C, t),$$

$$T : \quad \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau+1, C+B, t) = \varepsilon(T) \, \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau, C, t),$$

### Electric-magnetic duality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau,C,t+k) &= (-1)^{P \cdot k} e(C \cdot k/2) \, \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau,C,t), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau,C+k,t) &= (-1)^{P \cdot k} e(-B \cdot k/2) \, \mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau,C,t). \end{aligned}$$

### Theta function decomposition:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{P}(\tau, C, t) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda^{*}/\Lambda} \overline{h_{P,\mu}(\tau)} \Theta_{\mu}(\tau, C, B),$$

vector-valued modular form:  $h_{P,\mu}(\tau) = \sum_{Q_0} \Omega(\Gamma) q^{-Q_0 + \frac{1}{2}Q^2}, \qquad Q \in \mu + \Lambda$ 

Siegel-Narain theta function:  $\Theta_{\mu}(\tau, 0, 0) = \sum_{Q \in \Lambda + \mu} (-1)^{P \cdot Q} \exp \left(\pi i (\tau Q_{+}^{2} + \bar{\tau} Q_{-}^{2})\right)$ 

### How to implement:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2}(\Gamma; t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im} Z(\Gamma_1, t) \overline{Z}(\Gamma_2, t)) + \operatorname{sgn}(\langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle)) \\ &\times \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle \ (-1)^{\langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \rangle - 1} \, \Omega(\Gamma_1) \, \Omega(\Gamma_2). \end{split}$$

#### Partition function:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2}(\tau, C, t) = \\ \sum_{(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Lambda_1^* / \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2^* / \Lambda_2} \overline{h_{P_1, \mu_1}(\tau)} \overline{h_{P_2, \mu_2}(\tau)} \Psi_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(\tau, C, B)$$

 $\Psi_{(\mu_1,\mu_2)}(\tau,C,B)$  is a combination of a Siegel-Narain theta function and indefinite theta function

### Indefinite theta function I

Sums only over negative definite lattice points Göttsche, Zagier (1996); Zwegers (2002):

$$\Theta_{\mu}(\tau) = \sum_{Q \in \mu + \Lambda} \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{sgn}(Q \cdot J) - \operatorname{sgn}(Q \cdot \mathcal{P})) \exp(2\pi i \bar{\tau} Q^2/2)$$



### Indefinite theta function II

Modular invariant? No, but mock modular invariant.  $\Theta_{\mu}(\tau) \rightarrow \Theta_{\mu}^{*}(\tau)$  by replacing



approaches sgn(x) for  $\tau_2 \to \infty$ .

Similarly 
$$\Psi_{(\mu_1,\mu_2)}(\tau,C,B) \rightarrow \Psi^*_{(\mu_1,\mu_2)}(\tau,C,B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{then } \mathcal{Z}_{P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2}(\tau, C, t):$$

- has same modular properties as  $\mathcal{Z}_P(\tau, C, t) \rightarrow$  evidence for compatibility of stability and duality
- is continuous across walls, reminiscent of results by Gaiotto, Moore, Neitzke (2008); Joyce (2006)

Flow trees are schematic representations of supergravity solutions.



Analysis of more complicated BPS objects is possible.

- Also the contribution of flow trees with 3 endpoints is convergent.
- partition functions with manifest *S*-duality, are generating functions of

$$ar{\Omega}(\Gamma;t) = \sum_{m|\Gamma} rac{\Omega(\Gamma;t)}{m^2}$$

instead of  $\Omega(\Gamma; t)$ 

 Ω(Γ; t) seem most natural to determine the contribution of flow trees.

### Evidence is given for:

- the convergence of the BPS partition function in the mixed ensemble,
- the compatibility of stability and duality
- this also makes the partition function continuous of t

#### Open problems:

- modularity for  $N \ge 3$
- relax  $P^0=0$  and  $J
  ightarrow\infty$